

taking; means of affecting the least practicable adverse impact on the species and their habitat; and requirements for monitoring and reporting. Pursuant to section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, NMFS issued a final Biological Opinion to BOEM on September 18, 2023, evaluating the effects of the proposed action on ESA-listed species. The proposed action in the Biological Opinion includes the associated permits, approvals, and authorizations that may be issued.

**Authority:** National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*); 40 CFR 1505.2.

**Karen Baker,**

Chief, Office of Renewable Energy Programs,  
Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

[FR Doc. 2023-24295 Filed 11-2-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4340-98-P**

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

### Request for Comments on Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management for Agency Use of Artificial Intelligence Draft Memorandum

**AGENCY:** Office of Management and Budget.

**ACTION:** Notice of public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is seeking public comment on a draft memorandum titled *Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management for Agency Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI)*. As proposed, the memorandum would establish new agency requirements in areas of AI governance, innovation, and risk management, and would direct agencies to adopt specific minimum risk management practices for uses of AI that impact the rights and safety of the public. The full text of the draft memorandum is available for review at <https://www.ai.gov/input> and <https://www.regulations.gov>.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before December 5, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Please submit comments via <https://www.regulations.gov>, a Federal website that allows the public to find, review, and submit comments on documents that agencies have published in the **Federal Register** and that are open for comment. Simply type “OMB–2023–0020” in the search box, click “Search,” click the “Comment” button underneath “Request for Comments on Advancing Governance, Innovation, and Risk Management for Agency Use of

Artificial Intelligence Memorandum,” and follow the instructions for submitting comments. The OMB System of Records Notice, OMB Public Input System of Records, OMB/INPUT/01, includes a list of routine uses associated with the collection of this information.

**Privacy/FOIA Notice:** Comments submitted in response to this notice may be publicly available and are subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act. For this reason, please do not include in your comments information of a confidential nature, such sensitive personal information or proprietary information, or any other information that you would not want publicly disclosed.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Please direct questions regarding this Notice to Cindy Martinez at [OFCIO\\_AI@OMB.eop.gov](mailto:OFCIO_AI@OMB.eop.gov) with “AI Memo Request for Comment” in the subject line, or by phone at 202–395–0379. Please direct media inquiries to OMB OFCIO’s Office of Public Affairs, email: [MBX.OMB.Media@OMB.eop.gov](mailto:MBX.OMB.Media@OMB.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Administration has undertaken numerous efforts to advance responsible AI innovation and secure protections for people’s rights and safety. This work spans targeted sector-specific initiatives, such as the Department of Health and Human Services’ proposed rule to protect patients from discriminatory algorithms in health care,<sup>i</sup> as well as more broadly applicable guidance from the Department of Justice and Equal Employment Opportunity Commission to help employers avoid AI-enabled disability discrimination in employment.<sup>ii</sup> Significant efforts have also yielded the development of landmark voluntary frameworks such as the National Institute of Standards and Technology’s (NIST) AI Risk Management Framework and White House Office of Science and Technology Policy’s Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights. To build upon this body of policy, and in accord with President Biden’s Executive Order of October 30, 2023 (Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence), OMB has prepared a draft memorandum that would direct Federal agencies to strengthen their AI governance and innovation programs while managing risks from the use of AI,

<sup>i</sup> Section 1557 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act; 42 U.S.C. 18116, <https://www.hhs.gov/civil-rights/for-individuals/section-1557/index.html>.

<sup>ii</sup> *Algorithms, Artificial Intelligence, and Disability Discrimination in Hiring*, Department of Justice and Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, <https://www.ada.gov/resources/ai-guidance/>.

particularly when that use affects the safety and rights of the public. This memorandum also would carry out provisions of the AI in Government Act of 2020, secs. 101–104, Div. U, Public Law 116–260, and the Advancing American AI Act, secs. 7221–7228, Public Law 117–263.

### Requests for Comment

Through this Request for Comment, OMB hopes to gather information on the questions posed below. However, this list is not intended to limit the scope of topics that may be addressed. Commenters are invited to provide feedback on any topic believed to have implications for the content or implementation of the proposed memorandum.

When responding to one or more of the questions below, please note in the text of your response the number of the question to which you are responding. Commenters should include a page number on each page of their submissions. Commenters are not required to respond to all questions.

*OMB is requesting feedback related to the following:*

1. The composition of Federal agencies varies significantly in ways that will shape the way they approach governance. An overarching Federal policy must account for differences in an agency’s size, organization, budget, mission, organic AI talent, and more. Are the roles, responsibilities, seniority, position, and reporting structures outlined for Chief AI Officers sufficiently flexible and achievable for the breadth of covered agencies?
2. What types of coordination mechanisms, either in the public or private sector, would be particularly effective for agencies to model in their establishment of an AI Governance Body? What are the benefits or drawbacks to having agencies establishing a new body to perform AI governance versus updating the scope of an existing group (for example, agency bodies focused on privacy, IT, or data)?
3. How can OMB best advance responsible AI innovation?
4. With adequate safeguards in place, how should agencies take advantage of generative AI to improve agency missions or business operations?
5. Are there use cases for presumed safety-impacting and rights-impacting AI (Section 5 (b)) that should be included, removed, or revised? If so, why?
6. Do the minimum practices identified for safety-impacting and rights-impacting AI set an appropriate baseline that is applicable across all agencies and all such uses of AI? How

can the minimum practices be improved, recognizing that agencies will need to apply context-specific risk mitigations in addition to what is listed?

7. What types of materials or resources would be most valuable to help agencies, as appropriate, incorporate the requirements and recommendations of this memorandum into relevant contracts?

8. What kind of information should be made public about agencies' use of AI in their annual use case inventory?

**Clare Martorana,**

*U.S. Federal Chief Information Officer, Office of the Federal Chief Information Officer, Office of Management Budget.*

[FR Doc. 2023-24269 Filed 11-2-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3110-05-P**

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[NOTICE: 23-110]

### Name of Information Collection: Property Inventory Report—Grants With Educational and Nonprofit Entities

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection.

**SUMMARY:** The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections.

**DATES:** Comments are due by January 2, 2024

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for this information collection should be sent within 60 days of publication of this notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection by selecting "Currently under 60-day Review-Open for Public Comments" or by using the search function.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Bill Edwards-Bodmer, NASA Clearance Officer, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street SW, JF0000, Washington, DC 20546, 757-864-7998, or [b.edwards-bodmer@nasa.gov](mailto:b.edwards-bodmer@nasa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The information submitted by recipients is an annual report of

Government-owned property in the possession of educational or nonprofit institutions holding NASA grants. In addition to the annual report, a property report may also be required at the end of the grant, or on the occurrence of certain events. The collected information is used by NASA to effectively maintain an appropriate internal control system for equipment and property provided or acquired under grants and cooperative agreements with institutions of higher education and other nonprofit organizations, and to comply with statutory requirements.

##### II. Methods of Collection

Grant and Cooperative Agreement awardees submit annual property reports via an automated NASA Form 1018 by way of the NASA Electronic Submission System (NESS).

##### III. Data

*Title:* Property Inventory Report—Grants with Educational and Nonprofit Entities.

*OMB Number:* 2700-0047.

*Type of review:* Reinstatement without change.

*Affected Public:* Educational and not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Annual Number of Activities:* 238.

*Estimated Number of Respondents per Activity:* 1.

*Annual Responses:* 238.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 8.33 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 1,983 hours.

##### IV. Request for Comments

*Comments are invited on:* (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NASA, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection.

They will also become a matter of public record.

**William Edwards-Bodmer,**  
*NASA PRA Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2023-24267 Filed 11-2-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510-13-P**

## NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

### Institute of Museum and Library Services

#### Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request, Proposed Collection: Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery

**AGENCY:** Institute of Museum and Library Services, National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Submission for OMB review, request for comments, collection of information.

**SUMMARY:** The Institute of Museum and Library Services announces that the following information collection request has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. This Notice proposes the approval of the Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery for the next three years. A copy of the proposed information collection request can be obtained by contacting the individual listed below in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this Notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section below on or before December 03, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and recommendations for proposed information collection requests should be sent within 30 days of publication of this Notice to [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular information collection request by selecting "Institute of Museum and Library Services" under "Currently Under Review;" then check "Only Show ICR for Public Comment" checkbox. Once you have found this information collection request, select "Comment,"